

OUTP-97-08P
hep-th/9702088

February 1, 2008

DYNAMICAL DESCRIPTION OF SPECTRAL FLOW IN $N = 2$ SUPERCONFORMAL FIELD THEORIES

LEITH COOPER, IAN I. KOGAN AND RICHARD J. SZABO

*Department of Physics – Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford
1 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3NP, U.K.*

ABSTRACT

We show how the spectral flow between the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond sectors of $N = 2$ superconformal field theories can be described in three dimensions in terms of the propagation of charged particles coupled to a Chern-Simons gauge theory. Quantum mechanical mixing between the degenerate Chern-Simons vacua interpolates between the different boundary conditions of the two sectors and so provides a dynamical picture for the GSO-projection.

1. Introduction

Understanding the structure of the moduli space of two-dimensional conformal field theories is an important problem in critical string theory. This moduli space forms the space of string vacua and describes the appropriate stringy modification of classical general relativity. Particular attention has been devoted to models with $N = 2$ worldsheet supersymmetry, where the solutions to the string equations of motion hold to all orders of string perturbation theory and the resulting physics itself possesses $N = 1$ spacetime supersymmetry. In contrast to $N = 1$ superconformal field theories, whose Virasoro algebra is quite similar to that of the non-supersymmetric ($N = 0$) models, the moduli space and algebraic structures of $N = 2$ superconformal field theories are structurally different (see [1, 2] for concise reviews). For example, in the $N = 2$ models it is possible to smoothly interpolate between different parameter space descriptions of the same conformal field theory leading to physically smooth space-time topology changing processes in string theory. In this Paper we shall study the algebraic property that the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond sectors of the $N = 2$ super-Virasoro algebra are connected by the spectral flow [3]. We will describe how this phenomenon appears as a dynamical property of the coupling of charged matter to a three-dimensional topological field theory.

The connection between two-dimensional conformal field theories and three-dimensional topological field theories traces back to the seminal paper by Witten [4], where it was shown that the physical state space of Chern-Simons gauge theory, with action

$$kS_{CS}^{[G]}[A] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \text{Tr} \left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right) \quad (1.1)$$

defined on the three-dimensional spacetime manifold $\mathcal{M} = \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^1$, coincides with the finite-dimensional space of conformal blocks of the Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) model at level $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ defined on a compact Riemann surface Σ . Here A is a gauge connection of a compact simple Lie group G , and the quantum field theory (1.1) provides a three-dimensional description of the current algebra G_k based on G at level k . This relationship was further developed by Moore and Seiberg [5] who showed that a Chern-Simons theory defined on a three-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with two-dimensional boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$ induces the chiral gauged WZNW model on $\partial\mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} kS_{WZNW}^{+[G]}[g, \bar{A}_z] &= \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^2z \text{Tr} \left(g^{-1} \partial_z g \, g^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} g - 2 \bar{A}_z g^{-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} g \right) \\ &+ \frac{k}{12\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \text{Tr} \left(g^{-1} dg \wedge g^{-1} dg \wedge g^{-1} dg \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\bar{A}$ is the bulk part of the gauge field A in $\mathcal{M}$ and $g(z, \bar{z}) \in G$ is the pure gauge part of A on $\partial\mathcal{M}$, i.e.

$$A = g^{-1} \bar{A} g + g^{-1} dg \quad (1.3)$$

The key feature of the Moore-Seiberg correspondence is that different algebraic constructions of conformal field theories on $\partial\mathcal{M}$ can be described geometrically by considering combinations of several Chern-Simons theories in the bulk $\mathcal{M}$. This is done by exploiting the Goddard-Kent-Olive (GKO) coset constructions [6] for representations of the Virasoro algebra. A coset conformal field theory based on G_k/H_ℓ , where H is a subgroup of G such that the Sugawara-Virasoro algebra over G decomposes orthogonally into two mutually commuting Sugawara-Virasoro algebras over G/H and H , can be represented by an action which is the difference $kS_{WZNW}^{[G]} - \ell S_{WZNW}^{[H]}$ of WZNW actions. Using the above correspondences this can be described by Chern-Simons gauge theory. For example, the coset

$$M_k = SU(2)_k \times SU(2)_1 / SU(2)_{k+1} \quad (1.4)$$

represents the ordinary $N = 0$ minimal models of the Virasoro algebra in terms of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $SU(2)_\ell$ based on the group $SU(2)$ at level ℓ . It can be described using three independent $SU(2)$ Chern-Simons gauge fields with the action

$$\mathcal{I}^{\{N=0\}}[A, B, C] = kS_{CS}^{[SU(2)]}[A] + S_{CS}^{[SU(2)]}[B] - (k+1)S_{CS}^{[SU(2)]}[C]. \quad (1.5)$$

Analogously, the $N = 1$ superconformal minimal models are described by the coset

$$\mathcal{S}M_k = \mathcal{S}SU(2)_k \times \mathcal{S}SU(2)_2 / \mathcal{S}SU(2)_{k+2} \quad (1.6)$$

of the $N = 1$ supersymmetric Kac-Moody algebra $\mathcal{S}SU(2)_\ell$ based on $SU(2)$ at level ℓ , and they can be obtained from the $N = 1$ supersymmetric $SU(2)$ Chern-Simons theory [7] with action

$$\mathcal{I}^{\{N=1\}}[A, B, C] = kS_{\mathcal{S}SY\,CS}^{[SU(2)]}[A] + 2S_{\mathcal{S}SY\,CS}^{[SU(2)]}[B] - (k+2)S_{\mathcal{S}SY\,CS}^{[SU(2)]}[C]. \quad (1.7)$$

The three-dimensional approach is a good tool in the classification of rational conformal field theories [5] because it provides a geometrical realization of the two-dimensional models using conventional techniques of quantum gauge theory. It has been shown recently [8] that the coupling of the Chern-Simons gauge theory to dynamical charged matter fields has dramatic consequences. First of all, the field theory becomes dynamical, except in the low-energy limit where it can still be regarded as some sort of topological field theory. At the quantum level, the dynamical charged matter fields will induce a Yang-Mills kinetic term for the gauge field and will also add dynamical degrees of freedom in the gauge sector of the theory. This, as we shall see in this Paper, leads to very important dynamical effects which are responsible for the occurrence of some fundamental properties of the induced conformal field theory. Another very important feature of a matter coupling is that charged scalar matter can describe a deformation of the respective conformal field theory. This means that some specific $N = 2$ superconformal models that we shall study can be considered as special points in the moduli space of $N = 2$ superconformal field theories and other $N = 2$ models are related to them by marginal

deformations which can be obtained in a three-dimensional description by varying the parameters of the charged matter (for example the chemical potentials). Thus the addition of charged matter to the theory could be related to some of the exotic properties that the $N = 2$ models possess, such as mirror symmetry and spacetime topology change [1, 2]. The detailed description of these ideas for the $N = 0$ and $N = 1$ models has been presented in [8] where the transitions between deformed minimal models using the above three-dimensional descriptions were studied.

In the following we shall study three-dimensional constructions for $N = 2$ superconformal field theories. We will use the fact [9] that in the $N = 0$ and $N = 1$ models the fundamental observables of the conformal field theory, i.e. the anomalous scaling dimensions of primary operators, correspond to the transmuted spins that appear as Aharonov-Bohm phases from adiabatical rotation of charged particles coupled to Chern-Simons gauge fields. We shall show how the basic observables of the $N = 2$ models can be similarly described and demonstrate that this three-dimensional representation yields geometric and dynamical realizations of the spectral flow between isomorphic $N = 2$ superconformal algebras. When the spectral flow interpolates between the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond sectors, we will see that an appropriate combination of basis states in the Hilbert space of the Chern-Simons gauge theory on $\mathcal{M} = \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^1$ (corresponding to a choice of spin structure for the fermion fields on the compact Riemann surface Σ) coincides with the necessary truncation of the world-sheet spectrum required for the superconformal field theory to further possess $N = 1$ spacetime supersymmetry, i.e. the Gliozzi-Scherk-Olive (GSO) projection [10]. The physical interpretation thus obtained is in terms of the quantum mechanical Landau problem. These results imply some intriguing target space properties of the so-called topological membrane approach to string theory [11], which predominantly describes world-sheet modifications of string theory by filling in the string world-sheet and viewing it as the boundary of a 3-manifold. In this way, the three-dimensional description that we present here suggests dynamical and geometric origins for the appearance of space-time supersymmetry in string theory.

The structure of the remainder of this Paper is as follows. In Section 2 we discuss some basic properties of the $N = 2$ super-Virasoro algebra and introduce the coset models that will be studied. In Section 3 we show how these coset models can be described using three-dimensional topological field theory coupled to sources and show that this description leads immediately to a physical interpretation of the spectral flow. In Section 4 we construct the Hilbert space of the relevant Chern-Simons gauge theory in the canonical formalism and show that the vacuum sector admits a choice of basis states appropriate to the various spin structures of the superpartner spinor fields on Σ , and hence to the GSO projection and also modular invariance of superstring theory. Finally, Section 5 contains some concluding remarks as well as generalizations of our analysis to other $N = 2$ superconformal field theories.

2. Coset Models for $N = 2$ Superconformal Field Theories

We begin by briefly discussing some aspects of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra and its coset representations. The $N = 2$ superconformal algebra (in the holomorphic sector of the world-sheet theory) is generated by the usual Virasoro stress-energy tensor $T(z)$, an extra $U(1)$ current $J(z)$ of conformal dimension 1 and two supercurrents $G^\pm(z)$ with $U(1)$ charges ± 1 (for the precise relations satisfied by these generators, see [1]). The fermionic currents are also labelled by an additional parameter $\eta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ which controls their boundary conditions as

$$G^\pm(e^{2\pi i} z) = e^{\mp 2\pi i(\eta+1/2)} G^\pm(z) \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, when $\eta = 0$, $G^\pm(z)$ are anti-periodic giving us the Neveu-Schwarz sector of the theory, and when $\eta = 1/2$, $G^\pm(z)$ are periodic yielding the Ramond sector. At first glance, different choices of η appear to determine different algebras with (slightly) different commutation relations, but it turns out that the spectral parameter η in fact labels a family of *isomorphic* $N = 2$ superconformal algebras. We shall return to this property in the next Section.

We seek a realization of the $N = 2$ theories in terms of Chern-Simons gauge theory. This can be done using the Kazama-Suzuki coset models [12] which encompass most of the known $N = 2$ superconformal field theories and provide a coset realization of the $N = 2$ super-Virasoro algebra. The Kazama-Suzuki coset construction of $N = 2$ superconformal field theories first applies the GKO coset construction with $N = 1$ supersymmetric Kac-Moody algebras to obtain a large class of $N = 1$ superconformal models, and then it examines under which conditions these $N = 1$ coset models so constructed also possess an extended $N = 2$ supersymmetry. It turns out that these conditions are met if G is a compact simple Lie group and H is a subgroup of G such that the group manifold G/H is a Hermitian symmetric space. In that case, the coset

$$M_k^{(KS)} \equiv \mathcal{S}G_{k+C_2(G)}/\mathcal{S}H_{k+C_2(G)} \cong G_k \times SO(2n)_2/H_{\ell(k)} \quad (2.2)$$

contains the $N = 2$ super-Virasoro algebra, where

$$\ell(k) = k + C_2(G) - C_2(H) \quad (2.3)$$

and $C_2(G)$ (respectively $C_2(H)$) is the dual Coxeter number of the Lie group G (H). The $SO(2n)_2$ part of the coset (2.2) represents the internal symmetry group of $2n$ free Majorana (or n free Dirac) fermion fields, where $n = \text{rank}(G) = \text{rank}(H)$. The explicit form of the $N = 2$ generators in terms of these free fermion fields and the Kac-Moody currents of G_k can be found in [12]. For instance, the extra $U(1)$ current $J(z)$ can be represented via the embedding $SO(2) \subset SO(2n)$ in (2.2).

The isomorphism in (2.2) between the $N = 1$ and $N = 0$ cosets can be proven algebraically [12]. A more explicit argument can be given by exploiting the fact that the

cosets in (2.2) determine orthogonal decompositions of the algebras and thus representing the coset of the $N = 1$ supersymmetric Kac-Moody algebras in (2.2) in terms of WZNW actions. The crucial feature of these locally invariant actions is that the superpartner kinetic terms are gauged with respect to the underlying Lie group of the current algebra. For instance, the relevant kinetic terms in the $N = 1$ supersymmetric WZNW action for the current algebra $\mathcal{S}G_{k+C_2(G)}$ in (2.2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & (k + C_2(G))S_{WZNW}^{[G]}[g] + (k + C_2(G)) \int_{\Sigma} d^2z \, \bar{\psi} \left(i\bar{\partial} + g^{-1}\partial g \right) \psi \\ & = (k + C_2(G))S_{WZNW}^{[G]}[g] + (k + C_2(G)) \int_{\Sigma} d^2z \, \bar{\psi}' i\bar{\partial} \psi' \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\psi'(z, \bar{z}) = g(z, \bar{z})\psi(z, \bar{z}) \quad (2.5)$$

Here ψ are Majorana fermion fields with two real spinor components, each of which is a $(\dim G)$ -component field in the adjoint representation of G . The local field transformation (2.5) shows that the fermionic part of the $N = 1$ action (2.4) completely decouples and can be written as a free action for $\dim G$ Majorana fermion fields. An identical relation holds for the current algebra $\mathcal{S}H_{k+C_2(G)}$ in (2.2), so that when the coset $\mathcal{S}G_{k+C_2(G)}/\mathcal{S}H_{k+C_2(G)}$ is written as the difference of $N = 1$ WZNW actions (2.4) for G and H , we are effectively left with $\dim G - \dim H = \dim(G/H) = 2n$ free Majorana fermion fields. Upon bosonization [13], the action for these $2n$ Fermi fields is equivalent to the ordinary $N = 0$ $SO(2n)_2$ WZNW model. Thus the $SO(2n)_2$ current algebra measures the residual reduction of the fermionic currents between the $N = 1$ and $N = 0$ cosets based on G/H . Moreover, the Jacobians for the field transformations (2.5) are anomalous and lead to the levels of the $N = 0$ current algebras indicated in (2.2) by effectively shifting $k \rightarrow k - C_2(G)$ and $k \rightarrow k - C_2(H)$ in the coefficients of the bosonic parts $S_{WZNW}^{[G]}$ and $S_{WZNW}^{[H]}$, respectively, of the actions in (2.2) [14].

The $N = 2$ superconformal primary fields are labelled (in part) by their usual conformal dimensions Δ , and also their extra $U(1)$ charges $\mathcal{Q}$. For the Kazama-Suzuki cosets (2.2), they are given by [12]

$$\Delta_R = \frac{T_R(G)}{k + C_2(G)} - \frac{\lambda^2 + 2\lambda \cdot \rho_H}{k + C_2(G)}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_R = -\frac{4\lambda \cdot (\rho_G - \rho_H)}{k + C_2(G)}, \quad (2.7)$$

in the Neveu-Schwarz sector, where

$$T_R(G) \delta^{ab} = \text{Tr } R^a R^b \quad (2.8)$$

is the quadratic Casimir of a unitary irreducible representation R of G , λ is a corresponding highest weight vector of H , and ρ_G and ρ_H are the Weyl vectors of G and H ,

respectively. Unitarity of the highest-weight representation R of the Kac-Moody group G_k imposes the constraint

$$2\alpha \cdot \Lambda_R / \alpha^2 \leq k \quad (2.9)$$

where Λ_R is the corresponding highest weight vector and α the highest root vector of G (along with a similar constraint for the subgroup H). Generally, the Virasoro central charge of the current algebra G_ℓ is $c(G_\ell) = \ell \dim G / (\ell + C_2(G))$, and $c = 1/2$ for each Majorana fermion, so that the central charge of (2.2) is

$$c(M_k^{(KS)}) = n + \frac{k \dim G}{k + C_2(G)} - \frac{\ell(k) \dim H}{k + C_2(G)}. \quad (2.10)$$

The general Kazama-Suzuki coset models (2.2) can be described in three-dimensional terms by combining several Chern-Simons theories in exactly the same manner as described in Section 1 for the $N = 0$ and $N = 1$ cases. However, in this Paper we shall only consider the $N = 2$ super-Virasoro algebra itself which corresponds to $G = SU(2)$ and $H = U(1)$ in (2.2). This choice leads to the class of $N = 2$ superconformal minimal models

$$\mathcal{SS}M_k = \mathcal{S}SU(2)_{k+2} / \mathcal{S}U(1)_{k+2} \cong SU(2)_k \times SO(2)_2 / U(1)_{k+2} \quad (2.11)$$

These minimal models are important in their own right because they describe a particular compact region of the full moduli space of $N = 2$ superconformal field theories and one can flow to other $N = 2$ models (such as Calabi-Yau non-linear sigma-models or Landau-Ginsburg theories) by smoothly varying the moduli space parameters [1, 2]. Note that the $N = 2$ minimal models (2.11) are algebraically much simpler than the $N = 0$ and $N = 1$ minimal models (1.4) and (1.6). This is one of the distinguishing features of $N = 2$ superconformal field theories and can even be taken as a motivation for the introduction of higher-supersymmetry (as higher- N leads to simpler coset constructions). Besides their apparent simplicity, and the fact that their quantum field algebras have a finite number of primary conformal fields, these models also have a nice three-dimensional dynamical interpretation which we shall describe in the next Section.

3. Three-dimensional Description and the Spectral Flow

From (2.11) we are thus led to consider the Chern-Simons theory

$$\mathcal{I}^{\{N=2\}}[A, B, C] = k S_{CS}^{[SU(2)]}[A] + 2 S_{CS}^{[SO(2)]}[B] - (k+2) S_{CS}^{[U(1)]}[C] \quad (3.1)$$

The isomorphism between the $N = 1$ and $N = 0$ gauge-invariant Chern-Simons theories in (2.11) can be established in much the same way as we did in the previous Section using WZNW models, since in the three-dimensional case the kinetic terms for the $N = 1$ fermionic superpartner fields will involve gauge-covariant derivatives. Now the fact that

the $N = 0$ $SO(2)$ Chern-Simons gauge field B in (3.1) represents the fermionic part of the $N = 2$ supersymmetric theory is just the fermion-boson transmutation property of abelian Chern-Simons gauge theory at level $\ell = 2$ [15], i.e. that charged particles with Fermi statistics acquire Bose statistics from their interaction with a level-2 Chern-Simons gauge field (and vice-versa).

We want to describe the basic observables (2.6) and (2.7) of the $N = 2$ minimal models (2.11) using the action (3.1). The first step is to introduce charged matter in such a way that the induced spin of this charged matter coincides with the conformal dimensions of the minimal model. Generally, the anomalous spin acquired by charged matter in a representation R of the gauge group G from its interaction with a Chern-Simons gauge field at level ℓ is given by the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov formula [16]

$$\Delta_R(G_\ell) = \frac{T_R(G)}{\ell + C_2(G)} \quad (3.2)$$

for the anomalous scaling dimensions of primary operators in the corresponding WZNW model. The induced spins (3.2) can be interpreted [17] as the Aharonov-Bohm phases that arise from adiabatical rotation of particles of charge $\sqrt{T_R(G)}$, which also carry an induced magnetic flux from their interaction with the Chern-Simons gauge field (see (3.9)), about one another, i.e.

$$\Psi(e^{2\pi i}(x_1 - x_2)) = e^{4\pi i \Delta_R(G_\ell)} \Psi(x_1 - x_2) \quad (3.3)$$

where Ψ is the 2-particle wavefunction. For a perturbative description of these weights as the Aharonov-Bohm scattering amplitudes between dynamical charged particles, see [9].

The conformal dimensions (3.2) can be derived non-perturbatively as the phase of the determinant of the propagator in the quadratic approximation to the Chern-Simons action (1.1) [4]. Their equivalence to the scaling weights in a conformal field theory can be understood geometrically and dynamically as follows. The n -point correlation functions of the conformal field theory can be decomposed

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V^{(R_i)}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) \right\rangle = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_L^{(R_i)}(z_i) \right\rangle \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_R^{(R_i)}(\bar{z}_i) \right\rangle \quad (3.4)$$

in terms of products of left and right conformal blocks, where $V_L^{(R_i)}(z_i)$ and $V_R^{(R_i)}(\bar{z}_i)$ are the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic chiral vertex operators corresponding to the left-right symmetric vertex operator $V^{(R_i)}(z_i, \bar{z}_i)$ in a representation R_i of the group G . In the corresponding three-dimensional description we consider the 3-manifold $\mathcal{M} = \Sigma \times [0, 1]$ whose two boundaries Σ_L and Σ_R are connected by a finite interval. A Chern-Simons gauge theory in $\mathcal{M}$ induces both left- and right-moving sectors of the two-dimensional conformal field theory, and an insertion of a vertex operator on the worldsheet Σ is equivalent to insertions of the chiral vertex operators $V_L^{(R)}(z)$ and $V_R^{(R)}(\bar{z})$ on the left- and right-moving worldsheets Σ_L and Σ_R , respectively. The insertions corresponding to the

correlation functions (3.4) are induced by path-ordered products of the open Wilson line operators [4, 5, 9, 11]

$$W_{\mathcal{C}_{z_1, \bar{z}_1}; \dots; \mathcal{C}_{z_n, \bar{z}_n}}^{(R_1, \dots, R_n)}[A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)}] = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Tr } P \exp \left(i \int_{\mathcal{C}_{z_i, \bar{z}_i}} A^{(i)a} R_i^a \right) \quad (3.5)$$

along the oriented paths $\mathcal{C}_{z_i, \bar{z}_i} \subset \mathcal{M}$ with endpoints $z_i \in \Sigma_L$ and $\bar{z}_i \in \Sigma_R$. Correlators of insertions of the Wilson lines (3.5) in $\mathcal{M}$ induce phase factors from adiabatical rotation of charged particles coupled to the Chern-Simons gauge fields $A^{(i)}$ in the representations R_i . The quantum particles propagate along $\mathcal{C}_{z_i, \bar{z}_i}$ from left- to right-moving worldsheets, so that the corresponding linking of the Wilson lines from the adiabatical rotations in $\mathcal{M}$ are equivalent to braidings of the associated vertex operators on Σ whose induced phases are given by (3.2). A gas of open Wilson lines (3.5) describes charged matter in $\mathcal{M}$ corresponding to a deformation of the two-dimensional conformal field theory [8].

To this end, we minimally couple the $SU(2)$ Chern-Simons gauge field A in (3.1) to a matter current $J_a^{(j)\mu} R^{(j)a}$ in a spin- j representation $R^{(j)}$ of $SU(2)$, i.e. we add the term $\int_{\mathcal{M}} 2 \text{Tr } A_\mu^a R^{(j)a} J_b^{(j)\mu} R^{(j)b}$ to the action (3.1). Then the induced spin of this charged matter is $j(j+1)/(k+2)$. We also minimally couple the $U(1)$ Chern-Simons gauge field C at level $-(k+2)$ to a current $J^{(m)\mu}$ carrying an abelian charge $q = m$, corresponding to the magnetic quantum numbers of this same spin- j representation of $SU(2)$, by adding the term $\int_{\mathcal{M}} C_\mu J^{(m)\mu}$ to (3.1). Then the total induced spin of the matter-coupled action

$$\mathcal{I}_M^{(j,m)} = \mathcal{I}^{\{N=2\}}[A, B, C] + \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(2j(j+1) A_\mu^a J_a^{(j)\mu} + C_\mu J^{(m)\mu} \right) \quad (3.6)$$

is

$$\Delta_{j,m} = \frac{j(j+1)}{k+2} - \frac{m^2}{k+2}. \quad (3.7)$$

Next, we consider the Gauss law for the matter-coupled abelian Chern-Simons gauge field C at level $-(k+2)$,

$$-\frac{(k+2)}{4\pi} \epsilon^{0ij} \partial_i C_j = J^{(m)0}, \quad (3.8)$$

which follows from varying the action (3.6) with respect to the temporal component C_0 of C . It implies that a particle of charge m also carries magnetic flux

$$\Phi_m \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_D d^2x \epsilon^{0ij} \partial_i C_j = -\frac{2m}{k+2}. \quad (3.9)$$

where $D \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a disc in a neighbourhood of the charged matter.

The induced spin $\Delta_{j,m}$ and abelian magnetic flux Φ_m match precisely the conformal dimensions (2.6) and $U(1)$ charges (2.7), respectively, of the $N=2$ minimal model $\mathcal{SSM}_k$ for the spin- j representation. Note that the highest-weight constraint (2.9) in this case is $2|m| \leq 2j \leq k$, which for a given level $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the $SU(2)$ Chern-Simons gauge field A gives the (finitely-many) allowed values

$$j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2} \quad ; \quad m = -j, -j+1, \dots, j-1, j \quad (3.10)$$

for the spin and magnetic quantum numbers of the external charged matter. Thus, by introducing matter which is charged with respect to the Chern-Simons gauge fields A and C , i.e. considering the matter-coupled Chern-Simons gauge theory (3.6), we can recover all of the quantum numbers which label the primary fields of $\mathcal{SSM}_k$. Moreover, the appearance of the extra $U(1)$ current $J(z)$ in the extension of the Virasoro algebra to include $N = 2$ supersymmetry is, from the three-dimensional viewpoint, manifested as a $U(1)$ Gauss' law enabling the possibility to now measure an *abelian* flux of charged matter coupled to the gauge theory (which is responsible for the abelian Aharonov-Bohm phases that appear).

At first sight, it appears as though we have obtained a full three-dimensional description of $N = 2$ minimal models without any reference to the $SO(2)$ Chern-Simons gauge field B in (3.1). As we shall now show, the inclusion of an $SO(2)$ gauge field is crucial in this three-dimensional construction and it gives rise to a dynamical picture for the spectral flow [3] between the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond sectors of $N = 2$ minimal models. To see this, we consider another abelian charge $q = -\eta$. It couples minimally to the abelian gauge fields B and C , so that the action (3.6) is now modified to

$$\mathcal{I}_M^{(\eta)} = \mathcal{I}_M^{(j,m)} + \int_{\mathcal{M}} (B_\mu + C_\mu) J^{(-\eta)\mu} \quad (3.11)$$

Particles carrying this charge will acquire an induced spin $\Delta(SO(2)_2) = \eta^2/2$ from their interaction with B , and $\Delta(U(1)_{-k-2}) = -\eta^2/(k+2)$ from their interaction with C . Since the fundamental abelian charge $q = m$ of the minimal model and the extra charge $q = -\eta$ can interact via exchange of the gauge field C , there is an extra contribution to the Aharonov-Bohm phase from the adiabatic transport of the charge $q = -\eta$ around the magnetic flux Φ_m of $q = m$. This yields an additional contribution $\Delta = -\eta\Phi_m$ to the induced spin, and hence the total induced spin of the matter-coupled action (3.11) is

$$\Delta^{(\eta)} = \Delta_{j,m} + \frac{\eta^2}{2} - \frac{\eta^2}{k+2} - \eta\Phi_m = \Delta_{j,m} - \eta\Phi_m + \frac{\eta^2}{6}c_k, \quad (3.12)$$

where $c_k = 3k/(k+2)$ is the Virasoro central charge (2.10) of $\mathcal{SSM}_k$. The Gauss law (3.8) implies that particles carrying this extra abelian charge $q = -\eta$ will also carry magnetic flux $\Phi(SO(2)_2) = -\eta$ due to their interaction with B and $\Phi(U(1)_{-k-2}) = 2\eta/(k+2)$ due to their interaction with C . The total flux carried by these particles is thus

$$\Phi^{(\eta)} = \Phi_m - \eta + \frac{2\eta}{k+2} = \Phi_m - \frac{\eta}{3}c_k. \quad (3.13)$$

We immediately recognize $\Delta^{(\eta)}$ and $\Phi^{(\eta)}$ as the conformal weight and $U(1)$ charge of the η -twisted sector of the $N = 2$ superconformal models [1, 3]. The three-dimensional abelian charge η is identified with the spectral parameter appearing in (2.1) which interpolates between the different boundary conditions on the fermionic currents $G^\pm(z)$. Thus the coupling of the charge η to the $SO(2)$ Chern-Simons gauge field (representing the fermionic

sector of the $N = 2$ superconformal field theory) effectively maps the representation of the super-Virasoro algebra for $\eta = 0$ to another representation with $\eta \neq 0$ via a unitary transformation. This is the spectral flow, and it expresses the fact that the $N = 2$ superconformal algebras for different values of η are all isomorphic to each other. In particular, the spectral flow from the Neveu-Schwarz sector ($\eta = 0$) to the Ramond sector ($\eta = 1/2$) has the simple three-dimensional picture of adiabatically switching on the abelian charge $\eta = 1/2$ in the matter-coupled Chern-Simons gauge theory (3.11).

The fact that the η -twisted sector can be represented so simply here by merely coupling another abelian charge to the topological field theory is equivalent to the simple multiplication map (in the sense of the operator product in conformal field theory) between representations of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra for different values of η . This latter mapping can be represented as a simple shift of the $U(1)$ current $J(z)$ [1]. Note that in general $J(z)$ can be represented in terms of an $SO(2)_2$ free scalar boson field $X(z)$ (or equivalently a free complex fermion field $\psi(z)$) as [1, 2, 12, 13]

$$J(z) = i\sqrt{\frac{c}{3}} \partial_z X(z) = \sqrt{\frac{c}{3}} : \psi^\dagger(z) \psi(z) : \quad (3.14)$$

Since $X(z)$ can be identified with the pure gauge boundary degree of freedom of the $SO(2)_2$ Chern-Simons field B on $\partial\mathcal{M}$, the representation (3.14) shows explicitly how the $U(1)$ charge $J(z)$ of the two-dimensional conformal field theory corresponds to an abelian magnetic flux (of B) in the Chern-Simons description. More precisely, since $B_z(z) = \partial_z X(z)$ on a disc $D \subset \mathcal{M}$ (in a constant time slice), using Stokes' theorem the flux integral (3.9) can be written as an integral over a closed contour $\mathcal{C}(D)$ bounding the disc D as

$$\Phi = \oint_{\mathcal{C}(D)} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} B_z(z) = -i\sqrt{\frac{3}{c}} \oint_{\mathcal{C}(D)} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} J(z) = \delta_{\mathcal{C}(D)} X \quad (3.15)$$

which gives an explicit relation between the abelian flux Φ and the $U(1)$ charge enclosed by the conformal generator $J(z)$.

4. The GSO Conditions

The spectral flow with $\eta = 1/2$ is the mathematical statement of the existence of an isomorphism of $N = 2$ super-Virasoro algebras which continuously interpolates between the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond sectors. From a physical point of view, since the Neveu-Schwarz sector gives rise to spacetime bosons and the Ramond sector yields spacetime fermions, spectral flow by half a unit has the interpretation of a spacetime supersymmetry operator. In fact, it is intimately related to the GSO projection [10] and also to modular invariance [18, 19] of superstring theory. These two important features require the inclusion of both the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond sectors in the Hilbert space of the world-sheet theory. We now show how this can be achieved dynamically using our

three-dimensional approach. For this, we consider the structure of the Hilbert space of the abelian topologically massive gauge theory [20]

$$S_{TMGT}[B] = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(-\frac{1}{4e^2} F(B) \wedge \star F(B) + \frac{\tilde{k}}{8\pi} B \wedge F(B) \right) \quad (4.1)$$

for the $SO(2)$ gauge field B , where for the time being we consider an arbitrary Chern-Simons coefficient $\tilde{k}$ (later we will specify to the desired $\tilde{k} = 2$). Here $F(B) = dB$ is the field strength of B , and we take the three-dimensional spacetime to be the product manifold $\mathcal{M} = \Sigma^g \times \mathbb{R}^1$ with Σ^g a compact Riemann surface of genus g . The kinetic term for B explicitly breaks the topological invariance of the pure gauge theory. It is included for full generality because radiative corrections by dynamical matter fields coupled to a Chern-Simons gauge field induce a Maxwell term for it. Furthermore, its presence allows for the construction of different string worldsheet actions, including the action for the heterotic string, using the topological membrane approach to string theory [21], and it also enables one to vary the choice of worldsheet complex structure in the induced conformal field theory on Σ^g via its coupling to the metric of $\mathcal{M}$ [11].

The Gauss' law constraint in the Weyl gauge ($B_0 = 0$) is

$$\frac{1}{e^2} \partial^i \dot{B}_i + \frac{\tilde{k}}{8\pi} \epsilon^{0ij} F(B)_{ij} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

At each fixed time $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$, we can write the 1-form $B = B_i(x) dx^i$ on Σ^g using the Hodge decomposition

$$B = d\xi + \delta\chi + a(t) \quad (4.3)$$

where a is a harmonic 1-form on Σ^g , $da = \delta a = 0$. Substituting the decomposition (4.3) into the action (4.1) and using the constraint equation (4.2), we find

$$S_{TMGT}[B] = S_f[\phi] + S_L[a] \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$S_f[\phi] = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^3x \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{\phi}^2 - (\partial_i \phi)^2 - M^2 \phi^2 \right) \quad (4.5)$$

is the free particle action for the non-local scalar field $\phi \equiv \sqrt{\partial^2/e^2} \chi$ of (topological) mass $M = \tilde{k}e^2/4\pi$. The topological modes in (4.3) propagate according to the quantum mechanical Landau action [22]

$$S_L[a] = \int dt \left(\frac{1}{2e^2} \dot{a}_i^2 - \frac{\tilde{k}}{8\pi} \epsilon^{0ij} a_i \dot{a}_j \right) \quad (4.6)$$

which describes the motion of a charged particle of mass $\mu = 1/e^2$ on the plane (a_1, a_2) in a uniform magnetic field $\tilde{B} = \tilde{k}/4\pi$. The mass gap is $\tilde{B}/\mu = \tilde{k}e^2/4\pi = M$, which is precisely the mass of the gauge boson. Note that (a_1, a_2) span the configuration space of the field theory (4.1). However, if the quantum field theory is reduced to the first Landau

level, then the configuration space becomes the phase space of the theory. A reduction to the first Landau level is reached by taking the limit $\mu = 1/e^2 \rightarrow 0$. In that limit, the full topologically massive gauge theory (4.1) reduces to the pure Chern-Simons theory for B which is an exactly solvable three-dimensional topological field theory. In particular, the first Landau level coincides with the moduli space of flat gauge connections on the Riemann surface Σ^g [4].

The harmonic form a can be written

$$a(t) = \sum_{p=1}^g (a_1^p(t)\alpha_p + a_2^p(t)\beta_p) \quad (4.7)$$

in terms of a basis $\alpha_p, \beta_p, p = 1, \dots, g$, of canonical harmonic 1-forms on Σ^g which generate $H^1(\Sigma^g; \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{2g}$. These forms are normalized as the Poincaré duals

$$\oint_{a_{p'}} \alpha_p = \oint_{b_{p'}} \beta_p = \delta_p^{p'} \quad , \quad \oint_{a_{p'}} \beta_p = \oint_{b_{p'}} \alpha_p = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

to a basis $a_p, b_p, p = 1, \dots, g$, of canonical homology cycles of Σ^g , i.e. $a_p \cap b_{p'} = \delta_{pp'}$, $a_p \cap a_{p'} = b_p \cap b_{p'} = 0$, which generate its first homology group. After diagonalization of the action (4.6) we get an independent copy of the Landau problem for each pair (a_1^p, a_2^p) of quantum mechanical topological modes from (4.7), and so the full Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of the abelian topologically massive gauge theory (4.1) is

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_f[\phi] \otimes (\mathcal{H}_L)^{\otimes g} \quad (4.9)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_f[\phi]$ is the Hilbert space of the free massive field ϕ and $\mathcal{H}_L$ is the Hilbert space of the Landau problem on the plane.

If, however, the topologically massive gauge theory is coupled to a (spectral) charge $q = -\eta$, then we actually get g copies of the Landau problem on the torus rather than the plane. To see this, we consider the Wilson loop operators

$$W_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\eta)}[B] = \exp \left(i\eta \oint_{\mathcal{C}} B \right) \quad (4.10)$$

which describe the holonomy that arises in adiabatic transport of the charge with (closed) world-line $\mathcal{C}$ in the presence of the gauge field B . They are invariant under the large gauge transformations $(a_1^p, a_2^p) \rightarrow (a_1^p + 2\pi m^p/\eta, a_2^p + 2\pi n^p/\eta)$ where m^p, n^p are integers denoting the number of times the gauge field B winds around the canonical homology cycles (a_p, b_p) of Σ^g . Thus each pair of quantum mechanical coordinates (a_1^p, a_2^p) lies on the torus $0 \leq (a_1^p, a_2^p) < 2\pi/\eta$ of area $(2\pi)^2/\eta^2$. The density of states on each Landau level is $\tilde{B}/2\pi$, and so the total number of states in each level is $\mathcal{N} = (\tilde{k}/2\eta^2)^g$. Note that, for our purposes, the topologically massive gauge theory (4.1) should be more precisely defined in terms of a matter-coupling to some minimal charge η_{min} with $\tilde{k}/2\eta_{min}^2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then the Wilson loops (4.10), and hence the global gauge symmetries, must be defined in terms of charges η which are integer multiples of the fundamental one η_{min} . Correlators of

products of the Wilson loop operators (4.10) will then decompose into expectation values of products of the basis ones $W_C^{(\eta_{min})}[B]$. This construction partitions the Hilbert space of the gauge theory (4.1) into superselection sectors labelled by various choices of η_{min} , and the quantity $\tilde{k}/2\eta_{min}^2$ in this way determines both the number of conformal blocks and the chiral algebra of the respective conformal field theory on Σ^g [22].

To describe the (topological) wavefunctions on the first Landau level, it is convenient to use a holomorphic polarization in terms of a complex structure of Σ^g . We write (4.7) as

$$a = \sum_{l=1}^g (\bar{a}^l \omega_l - a^l \bar{\omega}_l) \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\omega_l \equiv \alpha_l + \Omega_{lm} \beta_m \quad (4.12)$$

are holomorphic harmonic 1-forms on Σ^g and Ω_{lm} is the $g \times g$ symmetric period matrix, with $\text{Im } \Omega > 0$, which parametrizes the modular structure of Σ^g . The metric on the space of holomorphic harmonic 1-forms is

$$G_{lm} \equiv i \int_{\Sigma^g} \omega_l \wedge \bar{\omega}_m = 2 \text{Im } \Omega_{lm} \quad (4.13)$$

Then the $\mathcal{N} = (\tilde{k}/2\eta^2)^g$ basis wavefunctions on the first Landau level are [23]

$$\Psi_{\{r_l\}}(a, \bar{a}|\Omega) = \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{k}}{32\pi} a^l G_{lm} \bar{a}^m\right) \exp\left(\frac{\tilde{k}}{32\pi} a^l G_{lm} a^m\right) \Theta^{(g)} \left[\begin{array}{c} 2r\eta^2/\tilde{k} \\ 0 \end{array} \right] \left(\frac{\tilde{k}a}{4\pi\eta} \middle| \frac{\tilde{k}\Omega}{2\eta^2} \right) \quad (4.14)$$

where $r_l = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{k}/2\eta^2$ and $l, m = 1, \dots, g$. The Jacobi theta functions are defined by

$$\Theta^{(g)} \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \end{array} \right] (z|\Pi) = \sum_{\{n_l\} \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp \left[i\pi(n_l + \alpha_l) \Pi_{lm} (n_m + \alpha_m) + 2\pi i(n_l + \alpha_l)(z^l + \beta^l) \right], \quad (4.15)$$

with the conditions $\alpha_l, \beta^l \in [0, 1]$ and $\text{Im } \Pi > 0$ which ensure that $\Theta^{(g)}$ is a holomorphic function of $\{z_l\} \in \mathbb{C}^g$. The wavefunctions Ψ_r carry a one-dimensional unitary representation of the discrete group of large $U(1)$ gauge transformations

$$a^l \rightarrow a^l + s^l + \Omega^{lm} t_m, \quad \bar{a}^l \rightarrow \bar{a}^l + s^l + \bar{\Omega}^{lm} t_m \quad (4.16)$$

where $s^l, t_m \in (2\pi/\eta)\mathbb{Z}$, with

$$\Psi_r(a, \bar{a}|\Omega) \rightarrow e^{-i\tilde{k}s^m t_m/32\pi} \Psi_r(a, \bar{a}|\Omega). \quad (4.17)$$

The inner product of these wavefunctions is

$$\langle \Psi_r | \Psi_{r'} \rangle \equiv \int_P \prod_{m=1}^g da^m d\bar{a}^m \det G^{-1} \Psi_r^*(\bar{a}, a|\bar{\Omega}) \Psi_{r'}(a, \bar{a}|\Omega) = \delta_{rr'} \det^{-1/2} G \quad (4.18)$$

where, due to the large gauge invariance of this inner product, the integration is restricted to the (phase space) plaquette

$$P = \left\{ (a^l, \bar{a}^l) = u^l + (\Omega^{lm}, \bar{\Omega}^{lm}) v_m \mid u^l, v_m \in [0, 2\pi/\eta) \right\}. \quad (4.19)$$

We now consider the quantum mechanical mixing between a particular linear combination of (vacuum) states in the first Landau level. If we take the level $\tilde{k} = 2$ and set $\eta = 1/2$, then there are $\mathcal{N} = 4^g$ states in the first Landau level which are described by the wavefunctions (4.14) with $\{r_l\} = 1, \dots, 4$. We will show that the GSO projection corresponds to the following choice of basis wavefunctions on the first Landau level,

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2g \det^{-1/2} G}} \sum_{l=1}^g (\Psi_{r_l} \pm \Psi_{s_l}) \mid (r_l, s_l) \in \{(1, 3), (2, 4)\} \right\} \subset \mathcal{H}. \quad (4.20)$$

Each state in $\mathcal{L}$ represents a particular spin structure on Σ^g and quantum mechanical mixing between the ground states provides a dynamical picture of modular invariance at the one-loop level of superstring theory. To see this, we first recall that the gauge theory (4.1) induces on Σ^g the chiral *gauged* WZNW model (at level $\tilde{k}$). The crucial observation is that the boundary boson fields are minimally coupled to the $SO(2)_2$ gauge field and, upon fermionization, we obtain gauged fermion fields (at level $\tilde{k} = 2$) on Σ^g . The fact that these fermion fields are gauged allows us to encode their boundary conditions (i.e. spin structure) around each homology cycle of Σ^g in the following manner. When restricted to the first Landau level, the fermionic terms in the (chiral) boundary action can be written as

$$\bar{\psi}(z)(i\partial_z + B_z)\psi(z) = \bar{\psi}'(z) i\partial_z \psi'(z) \quad \text{where} \quad \psi'(z) = \exp\left(i \int_{\mathcal{C}_z} B_z\right) \psi(z) \quad (4.21)$$

with $\mathcal{C}_z$ an oriented contour in Σ^g from some fixed basepoint to the point z . This means that the boundary fermion fields on Σ^g can be taken to be free, but with non-trivial parallel transport along the fibers of the spin bundle of Σ^g determined by the holonomy of the $SO(2)$ gauge connection B . From (4.21) we see that the fermionic boundary conditions as one encircles homology cycles of the Riemann surface are in fact determined by the vacuum expectation values of the Wilson loop operators (4.10) around the canonical homology cycles (a_p, b_p) of Σ^g , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^p &\equiv W_{a_p}^{(1)}[B] = \exp\{ia_1^p\} = \exp\{i(\bar{a}^p - a^p)\} \\ W_2^p &\equiv W_{b_p}^{(1)}[B] = \exp\{ia_2^p\} = \exp\{i(\Omega^{pl}\bar{a}^l - \bar{\Omega}^{pl}a^l)\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

The quantum mechanical amplitudes $\langle \Psi_r | W_i^p | \Psi_{r'} \rangle$ are straightforward to calculate using the wavefunctions (4.14) and the inner product (4.18). For the linear combinations of ground states given in (4.20) the averages are $\langle W_i^p \rangle = \pm 1$ corresponding to anti-periodic/periodic fermionic boundary conditions around each canonical homology cycle of Σ^g . For example, in the case of the torus ($g = 1$) with $\Omega = i$, we obtain the four spin structures

Ψ	$\langle W_1 \rangle$	$\langle W_2 \rangle$
$ 1\rangle + 3\rangle$	+	−
$ 1\rangle - 3\rangle$	−	−
$ 2\rangle + 4\rangle$	+	+
$ 2\rangle - 4\rangle$	−	+

On higher-genus Riemann surfaces, we obtain g copies of this structure for each canonical pair (a_p, b_p) , so that the averages of the Wilson loops (4.22) in this way encode the 4^g spin structures of Σ^g determined from $H^1(\Sigma^g; \mathbb{Z}_2) = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2g}$. Since the 4^g ground states are degenerate, quantum mechanical mixing between these states implies a mixing between the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond boundary conditions for the fermionic modes on the string worldsheet. The sum of amplitudes over all spin structures of states, determined from the combinations of Chern-Simons vacua in (4.20), is then equivalent to projecting the trace in the partition function onto those states, in each Hamiltonian sector of the worldsheet theory on Σ^g , with eigenvalue $+1$ of the Klein operator $(-1)^F$ (i.e. the states of even fermion number). This is the GSO projection [10]. It ensures spacetime supersymmetry in superstring theory, and it is in fact a general consequence of modular invariance of the theory on a genus $g = 1$ surface (i.e. at the one-loop level in superstring theory) [18]. This latter property follows immediately from the form of the $g = 1$ basis states represented in the table above, as then modular transformations of the torus mix these spin structures by interchanging homology cycles and hence map these particular states into each other. The modular invariance of the vacuum sector of the gauge theory Hilbert space for $g > 1$ can be attained by multiplying the states by appropriate phases and taking linear superpositions of them as determined by the modular transformation properties of the wavefunctions (4.14) in the first Landau level [23].

Thus the coupling of the $SO(2)_2$ Chern-Simons gauge field B to the spectral charge $\eta = 1/2$ gives the appropriate mixing of the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond sectors of the Hilbert space as required by both the GSO projection and modular invariance. This is the crucial role played by the $SO(2)_2$ field in the three-dimensional representation of the minimal $N = 2$ superconformal field theories, in that it yields the appropriate sector of the full Hilbert space of the quantum field theory (3.1) in which to make the desired projections. Note that (4.21) is the three-dimensional analog of the transformation in (2.4),(2.5), so that the possibility to include these sectors is a manifestation of the three-dimensional representation of the $N = 2$ supersymmetry via the gauge field B . The above Landau level picture suggests a dynamical origin for these sectors, and, in particular, for $N = 1$ spacetime supersymmetry (from the point of view of the topological membrane approach), through the quantum mechanics of the Landau problem.

Actually, as discussed in [1], in order for the spectral flow to be a symmetry for Neveu-Schwarz fields appearing in the partition function for the $N = 2$ superconformal quantum field theory, and furthermore for the existence of the appropriate spacetime supersymmetry operator, the conformal field theory must be projected onto a spectrum

with odd integral $U(1)$ charge eigenvalues of $J(z)$ [24]. This projection (in the sense of orbifolding to get conformal field theory quotients) can be done in two steps. First, one can project onto integral $U(1)$ charges, which in the three-dimensional description means adjusting the coupling of the abelian charges and Chern-Simons gauge fields in such a way so as to ensure a magnetic flux quantization condition $\Phi \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then one can apply a generalized GSO projection onto odd integral charges, which in the topological field theory picture above means selecting the appropriate basis of states in the first Landau level of the abelian topologically massive gauge theory for B (namely those states with eigenvalues $(-1)^\Phi = -1$). In this way we have a well-defined dynamical procedure for building spacetime supersymmetric theories from such three-dimensional topological field theories. It is intriguing that odd-integer flux quantization, an ingredient of some condensed matter applications of Chern-Simons gauge theory (most notably to the fractional quantum Hall effect [25]), is a crucial part of this dynamical construction. Such fluxes are precisely what is necessary for the fermion-boson transmutation in a Chern-Simons theory realization of anyons [17] (particles with fractional exchange statistics), because they produce an additional factor of -1 in the Aharonov-Bohm phases which maps bosons into fermions and vice versa. The occurrence of spacetime supersymmetry in the conformal field theory thus appears as an anyonic symmetry of the matter-coupled Chern-Simons gauge theory.

5. Conclusions

In this Paper we have presented a dynamical interpretation of the spectral flow between the Ramond and Neveu-Schwarz sectors of the $N = 2$ super-Virasoro algebra. The dynamical picture is that of the vacuum sector of the quantum mechanical Landau problem arising from the coupling of matter of charge $\eta = 1/2$ to an $SO(2)_2$ Chern-Simons gauge field (representing the fermionic sector of the $N = 2$ superconformal field theory). Then the quantum mechanical mixing of an appropriate basis of states, representing the spin structure for the spinor fields of the supersymmetric theory on a Riemann surface, yields the necessary projection onto states required by both the GSO projection and modular invariance. Within the topological membrane approach to string theory, this suggests dynamical and geometrical origins for $N = 1$ spacetime supersymmetry.

The spectral flow with integer-valued $\eta^{-1} = \mathcal{F} > 2$ leads to an interesting generalization of the above constructions. When such a charge is coupled to the $SO(2)_2$ gauge field B on $\mathcal{M} = \Sigma^g \times \mathbb{R}^1$, there are $\mathcal{F}^{2g}$ degenerate states in the first Landau level of the Hilbert space and $\mathcal{F}$ possible phases that the fermion fields can acquire upon parallel transport around the canonical homology cycles of Σ^g . Such spinor fields live on an $\mathcal{F}$ -fold cover of the frame bundle of Σ^g (generalizing the spin bundle of the Riemann surface), and hence combinations of the Chern-Simons vacua will admit quantum mechanical mixing between sectors of the superconformal field theory generalizing the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond

sectors (and determined from $H^1(\Sigma^g; \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}) = (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{F}})^{2g}$). The appearance of such fractional statistical phases in the boundary conditions for the superpartner fields is one feature of the so-called fractional superstrings [26].

The features we have described in this Paper strictly speaking only apply to the $N = 2$ superconformal minimal models. Although it is unclear how to describe general $N = 2$ superconformal field theories using such three-dimensional constructions (yet the general Kazama-Suzuki coset models (2.2) can be so described), there are some simple generalizations of the above analyses. For instance, we can consider a $U(1)^D$ topologically massive gauge theory

$$S_{TMGT}^{(D)}[\mathcal{A}] = -\frac{1}{4e^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^3x \sqrt{h} h^{\mu\nu} h^{\lambda\rho} F(\mathcal{A})_{\mu\lambda}^I F(\mathcal{A})_{\nu\rho}^I + \frac{k_{IJ}}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \mathcal{A}_\mu^I \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\lambda^J \quad (5.1)$$

where $I, J = 1, \dots, D$ and $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric of $\mathcal{M}$. The action (5.1) induces on the boundary of the 3-manifold $\mathcal{M}$ the linear sigma-model [27]

$$S_\sigma[X] = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^2z \left(\sqrt{h} h^{\alpha\beta} g_{IJ} \partial_\alpha X^I \partial_\beta X^J + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} B_{IJ} \partial_\alpha X^I \partial_\beta X^J \right), \quad (5.2)$$

with the identification $k_{IJ} = 4(g_{IJ} + B_{IJ})/\alpha'$. Here X^I is the pure gauge part of the $U(1)$ gauge field $\mathcal{A}^I$ on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$, and g_{IJ} and B_{IJ} are the graviton and anti-symmetric tensor condensates, respectively. The antisymmetric B -term degree of freedom is a topological instanton term in the field theory, and now the three-dimensional gauge theory (5.1) describes a simple conformal field theory in a D -dimensional target space with constant metric.

From the three-dimensional point of view there are now two ways of adding $N = 2$ supersymmetry to the model (5.2). One method is the direct generalization of the $N = 1$ construction of [21] to the $N = 2$ supersymmetric abelian topologically massive gauge theory (by adding Dirac rather than Majorana spinor terms to (5.1)). The resulting boundary conformal field theory has $N = 2$ supersymmetry (when both chiral and anti-chiral sectors are included) and can be used for various string constructions, as in [21]. The other approach is to add D independent copies of another set of topologically massive gauge theories (4.1) for $SO(2)_2$ fields $\mathcal{B}^I$ (i.e. with $\tilde{k}_{IJ} = 2\delta_{IJ}$). Then, via fermionization, the boundary boson fields so induced effectively acquire Fermi statistics. In this second approach, we can minimally couple each of the D gauge fields $\mathcal{B}^I$ to charged matter with $\eta_I = 1/2$, and then the above picture of quantum mechanical mixing between the 4^g states on the lowest-lying Landau level also provides a three-dimensional description of the GSO projection and modular invariance in the linear sigma-model. Thus the dynamical interpretation of spacetime supersymmetry can also be carried through for this simple generalization to (constant metric) target space degrees of freedom. It would be interesting to describe more complicated superconformal field theories with $N = 2$ supersymmetry, such as non-linear sigma-models in Calabi-Yau target spaces or Landau-Ginsburg orbifold models, directly in terms of three-dimensional topological field theory.

Acknowledgements: L.C. gratefully acknowledges financial support from the University of Canterbury, New Zealand. The work of R.J.S. was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

- [1] B.R. Greene, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 41 (1995) 92.
- [2] P.S. Aspinwall, in Proc. Trieste Summer School in High Energy Physics, eds. E. Gava, A. Masiero, K.S. Narain, S. Randjbar-Daemi and Q. Shafi, World Scientific (1995).
- [3] A. Schwimmer and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B 184 (1987) 191.
- [4] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 121 (1989) 351.
- [5] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B 220 (1989) 422.
- [6] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, Commun. Math. Phys. 103 (1986) 105.
- [7] N. Sakai and Y. Tanii, Progr. Theor. Phys. 83 (1990) 968.
- [8] I.I. Kogan, Phys. Lett. B 390 (1997) 189.
- [9] G. Amelino-Camelia, I.I. Kogan and R.J. Szabo, Nucl. Phys. B 480 (1996) 413.
- [10] F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk and D. Olive, Nucl. Phys. B 122 (1977) 253.
- [11] I.I. Kogan, Phys. Lett. B 231 (1989) 377;
S. Carlip and I.I. Kogan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 148; Mod. Phys. Lett. A 6 (1991) 171.
- [12] Y. Kazama and H. Suzuki, Nucl. Phys. B 321 (1989) 232.
- [13] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 92 (1984) 455.
- [14] P. Di Vecchia, V.G. Knizhnik, J.L. Petersen and P. Rossi, Nucl. Phys. B 253 (1985) 701;
D. Nemeschansky and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 (1985) 620;
A.N. Redlich and H.J. Schnitzer, Phys. Lett. B 167 (1985) 315;
J. Fuchs, Nucl. Phys. B 286 (1987) 455.
- [15] A.M. Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 3 (1988) 325.
- [16] V.G. Knizhnik and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 247 (1984) 83.
- [17] F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1144, 1146; 49 (1982) 957;
F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 2250;
D. Arovas, R. Schrieffer, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B 251 [FS13] (1985) 117;
C.-H. Tze and S. Nam, Ann. Phys. 193 (1989) 419.
- [18] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 276 (1986) 272.

- [19] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, G. Moore and C. Vafa, Commun. Math. Phys. 106 (1986) 1;
P. Ginsparg and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 289 (1987) 414.
- [20] J.F. Schonfeld, Nucl. Phys. B 185 (1981) 157;
S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Ann. Phys. 140 (1982) 372.
- [21] L. Cooper and I.I. Kogan, Phys. Lett. B 383 (1996) 271.
- [22] I.I. Kogan and A.Yu. Morozov, Sov. Phys. JETP 61 (1985) 1;
I.I. Kogan, Comm. Nucl. Part. Phys. 19 (1990) 305; Intern. J. Mod. Phys. A 9 (1994) 3887;
G. Dunne, R. Jackiw and C.A. Trugenberger, Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 661;
A.P. Polychronakos, Ann. Phys. 203 (1990) 231;
X.G. Wen, Intern. J. Mod. Phys. B 4 (1990) 239.
- [23] M. Bos and V.P. Nair, Phys. Lett. B 223 (1989) 61;
M. Bergeron, D. Eliezer and G.W. Semenoff, Phys. Lett. B 311 (1993) 137.
- [24] A. Sen, Nucl. Phys. B 278 (1987) 423;
T. Banks, L. Dixon, D. Friedan and E. Martinec, Nucl. Phys. B 299 (1988) 613;
C. Vafa, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 4 (1989) 1169.
- [25] G.W. Semenoff and P. Sodano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 (1986) 1195;
R. Prange and S. Girvin, eds., The quantum Hall effect (Springer, Berlin, 1987);
T.H. Haansson, S. Kivelson and T.H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 82.
- [26] P.C. Argyres and S.-H.H. Tye, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 3339;
K.R. Dienes and S.-H.H. Tye, Nucl. Phys. B 376 (1992) 297;
P.C. Argyres, E. Lyman and S.-H.H. Tye, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 4533;
P.C. Argyres, K.R. Dienes and S.-H.H. Tye, Commun. Math. Phys. 154 (1993) 471.
- [27] I.I. Kogan, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 6 (1991) 501;
L. Cooper, I.I. Kogan and K.-M. Lee, hep-th/9611107, to appear in Phys. Lett. B (1997).